TOWARDS PERSISTENT CYCLOBUTADIENE RADICAL CATIONS? THE TETRA-I-ADAMANTYL-, AND TETRANEOPENTYLCYCLOBUTADIENE RADICAL CATIONS.

> **Q.B. Broxterman, H. Hogeveen" and R.F. Kingma Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Groningen Nijenborgh 16, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands**

Summary: The persistence of alkyl substituted cyclobutadiene radical cations strongly depends on the method of generation and the size of the alkyl substituents used. Hindered rotation, the consequence of bulky substituents, is observed in the title compounds.

Cyclobutadiene radical cations are transient species amenable to study by ESR¹⁻³ or mass **spectroscopy. ⁴**In **order to study these species with other, less sensitive techniques higher concentrations are needed, and thus more persistent examples than those already published** are essential.¹⁻³ In the present communication results are presented which indicate that the **persistence (kinetic stability5) is strongly influenced by two factors: the bulk of the alkyl groups attached to the four-membered ring and the method of generation of the radical cations.**

We have compared two methods^{2,3} used for the generation of cyclobutadiene radical cations: **method A, involving photolysis of a separately prepared d-aluminum halide cyclobutadiene complex,2 and method B, involving photolysis of a mixture of alkyne and Lewis acid3 (Figure 1). Our results show that the radical cation 1 generated by method A is considerably** more persistent than that generated by method B. The decay rates of the tetraethylcyclobutadiene

Figure 1. Methods A and B. R=CH₂CH₃.

radical cation 1 $\tilde{\ }$ (Figure 1), generated by both methods, "have been compared at -55°C: for 1 **17 -** (method A) t_,' at -55°C is larger than 14 min, whereas for <u>1</u> (method B) t_,' at -55°C is ^{\simeq} 15 $^{\circ}$ **sec. Method A is clearly the method of choice with regard to persistence.**

An alternative approach to enhance the persistence of cyclobutadiene radical cations is to increase the bulk of the alkyl substituent. With this in mind we have studied the tetraneopentyl- and tetra-I-adamantylcyclobutadiene radical cations 2 and 2 (Figure 2). In the case of the tetraneopentylcyclobutadiene radical cation 2 it was hoped that the neopentyl **groups would "block" the top and bottom side of the radical moiety by pointing alternatingly up and down (Figure 2).**

Figure 2.

Unfortunately, radical cations 2 and 3 cannot be prepared using method A, ⁶ because the **corresponding o-aluminum halide cyclobutadiene complexes of dineopentyl- or di-l-adamantyl**acetylene could not be obtained in any detectable amounts. The radical cations 2 and 3 can be prepared by method B; 6 small transient amounts of the σ -aluminum halide cyclobutadiene com**plexes are probably formed while shaking the ESR tubes cautiously at low temperatures. The de**cay of <u>2</u> at -55°C is slow (t_i > 15 min). Radical cation <u>2 has indeed the expected conformation</u> (Figure 2), which can be concluded \degree from the value of a°_α (8H): 4.32 \pm 0.05 G (g = 2.0026 \pm **0.0002), constant in the temperature range -90°C to -40°C.**

The decay of <u>3</u> at -55°C has a $t_{\frac{1}{4}}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ 3 min. The best interpretation of the ESR spectrum of <u>3</u> (based on numerous computer simulations) is the following": a $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ (16H) = 0.288 G, a $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ (8H) = **0.144 G, a**¹³**C** (8¹³C) = 3.456 G, a⁻²**(**X¹³C) = 10.9 G'' (g = 2.0026 ± 0.0002), invariant in the **range -85°C to -30°C (Figure 3). The 24 y-H's are clearly not equivalent; 3 is frozen out in** a conformation which is presumed to be 3a (Figure 3). The argument for assigning conformation 3a to 3 is twofold. The dihedral angle (θ) of 16 γ -H's with the orbital containing the unpaired electron is 30°, for the other 8 y-H's however it is 90°. Although the Heller-**McConnell equation 9,lO cannot be used for ~-H'S, a small 0 still remains vital for a large** coupling constant.¹² Secondly, conformation 3a contains 8 β -¹³C's with θ = 30° and 4 β -¹³C's with θ = 90°. Again, a small θ will result in a large coupling constant (a_p \mathcal{L} (8¹³C) = 3.456 G) and a large θ will result in a small coupling constant (a $_{\circ}$ $\,$ $\,$ (4¹³C) not observed).

It is of interest to compare our results on 3 with those obtained recently for the tetrat-butylcyclobutadiene radical cation (4) .^{1,3} First, hindered rotation is not observed for the t-butyl groups in 4. The reason for this discrepancy between the neopentyl- and 1-adamantyl groups on one hand and the t-butyl group on the other hand is not clear to us. Second, a ¹³Csatellite spectrum with a ັ (4¹³C) = 10.0 G has been observed for 4. This <code>isc_coupling con-</code> stant has been assigned to the four ring carbons in <u>4</u>. The authors¹ interpret this large value of a \degree as an indication for a deviation of the four-membered ring in <u>4</u> from planarity. If this

interpretation is correct, this would imply that in case of 3, for which a larger 13C-coupling constant (10.9 G)¹¹ has been observed, the deviation from planarity is even more pronounced.

Figure 3. 11 Left: exp. spectrum of 2 at -41°C. Middle: computer-simulation. Right: projection of rotamer 3a. -

In summary, two conclusions can be drawn from the foregoing. Firstly, 2 and 3 are much more persistent than 1 when generated under the same conditions. Secondly, the expected con**comitant effect of vicinal bulky groups, i.e. hindered rotation, is indeed observed. The next step towards more persistent cyclobutadiene radical cations would be an attempt to prepare 2 and 2 via a route more conducive to persistence than the method used. _**

Acknowledgement: This investigation was supported by the Netherlands Foundation for Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from the Netherlands Organization for Advancement of Pure Research (ZWO).

References and Notes:

- 1. **H. Bock, B. Roth and G. Maier, Angew. Chem., 92, 213 (1980); Chem. Ber., 117, 172** (1984).
- **2. a. Q.B. Broxterman, H. Hogeveen and D.M. Kok, Tetrahedron Lett., 173 (1981). b. Q.B. Broxterman and H. Hogeveen, Tetrahedron Lett., 639 (1983). c. Q.B. Broxterman and H. Hogeveen, J. Org. Chem., 48, 1386 (1983).**
- **3. J.L. Courtneidge, A.G. Davies and J. Lustzyk, J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun., 893 (1983). J.L. Courtneidge, A.G. Davies and** J.E. **Parkin, J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun., 1262 (1983).** J.L. **Courtneidge, A.G. Davies, E. Lustzyk and J. Lustzyk, J. Chem. Sot., Perkin Trans. II, 155 (1984).**
- **4. D.M. Kok, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Groningen, 1981; Chapter 4.**
- **5. D. Griller and K.U. Ingold, Act. Chem. Res., 2, 13 (1976).**
- **6. Method A: an ESR tube filled with 0.15 mmol intensily red coloured o-A12C16 complex of tetraethylcyclobutadiene 2b in 0.5 ml CH2C12 is used.** Method B: an ESR tube filled with 0.3 mmol 3-hexyn, 0.3 mmol AlCl₃ and 0.5 ml CH₂Cl₂ is **used. Both samples are deoxygenated by several freeze-thaw cycles (pressure below 10 -4 Torr, thaw cycles at -95°C). If the sample of method B is prepared and thawed carefully enough, it remains almost colourless. Both samples are sealed and stored at -196°C until used.**
- **The decay is followed by monitoring the height of a peak of the first derivative ESR spectrum, after switching off the lamp.**
- **The designation used for the position of H's and 13C's is the following: C(ring)-CaHB-Catty.**
- a" (12H) = 8.75 G for the tetramethyl derivative.²⁶ Choosing a ≅ 0 G in the Heller-McConnell $\texttt{equation'}$ (a_B = p' (a + b < cos=0>)) results in b = /0 G. This leads to θ = b0° ± 1° for **2. -**
- **10. C. Heller and H.J. McConnell, J. Chem. Phys., 32, 1535 (1960).**
- 11. The position of the ''C-atoms (C(ring) or C_o?) responsible for the satellite spectrum with \overline{a} a^{-3C} (X¹³C) = 10.9 G is not yet clear. The number (X) of ¹³C-atoms involved should **reasonably be 4 (3,6 actually being measured). The hyperfine structure of this satellite spectrum cannot be resolved due to the low S/N ratio in the satellite spectrum. The 13C coupling constant of 10.9 G has, for this reason, not been included in the experimental and computer simulated spectrum shown in Figure 3.**
- **12. For the effect of 0 on a: see: K.U. Ingold and J.C. Walton, J. Am. Chem. SOC., 104, 616, (1982).**

(Received in UK 27 February 1984)